

# DCP 216 Working Group Minutes

<b>Meeting Name</b>	DCP 216 Working Group
<b>Meeting Number</b>	03
<b>Date</b>	30 April 2015
<b>Time</b>	10:00
<b>Venue</b>	Web-Conference

<b>Attendee</b>	<b>Company</b>
Andrew Pace [AP] (Chair)	Electricity North West
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE Power Distribution
Chris Ong [CO]	UK Power Networks
Dave Wornell [DW]	Western Power Distribution
Claire Hynes [CH] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

<b>Apology</b>	<b>Company</b>
Keith Burwell [KB]	Ofgem

## 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 The minutes of the last meeting were agreed without amendment.
- 1.2 The updates to the open and closed actions are captured in Appendix A of the meeting minutes.

## 2 COMPETITION LAWS DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 The Working Group agreed to act in accordance with the "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" for the duration of the meeting.

## 3 REVIEW OF THE DCP 216 MODELS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the proposed models and documentation provided by Reckon which acts as Attachment 1. The Working Group considered that the draft models were set out in a more intuitive way than the live models and that it was now easier to track the calculations through the model.
- 3.2 The majority of Working Group members had populated the LRIC EDCM model and received the same results as for their initial indicatives. One member had tested the FCP EDCM model and received the same results for their final indicatives.
- 3.3 One Working Group member questioned why dozen of tables had been re-numbered in the reformatted models. Another member advised that in the models the numbers follow the calculation so they are not sequential at the top of the matrix for example in the matrix tab cell 3624 jumps to 3626.
- 3.4 The Working Group agreed to ask Reckon to amend the models to round the LDNO prices to the appropriate decimal places as currently DNOs have to manually adjust them.

**ACTION 03/01: ELECTRALINK**

## 4 REVIEW OF THE DCP 216 DRAFT CONSULTATION

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed and amended the DCP 216 draft consultation as set out in Attachment 2.

## **5 NEXT STEPS**

---

- 5.1 The DCP 216 Working Group agreed that the next steps were as follows:
- The secretariat to draft a communication to Reckon to request that the LDNO prices are rounded to the appropriate decimal places in the EDCM models.
  - All DNOs members agreed to populate the model in accordance with action 01/04 with their inputs and check whether the same outputs are calculated.
  - The Working Group agreed that once the amended EDCM models are received from Reckon and the DNOs testing the models declare they are fit for purpose, the Working Group will finalise the DCP 216 consultation and issue to Parties for a period of two weeks in accordance with action 01/04.

## **6 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

---

- 6.1 There were no items of any other business.

## **7 NEXT MEETING**

---

- 7.1 The next DCP 216 Working Group meeting will be scheduled to consider the DCP 216 consultation responses.

## **8 ATTACHMENT**

---

- Attachment 1 - Reckon DCP 216 Proposed EDCM Models and Documents
- Attachment 2 – DCP 216 Draft Consultation

**APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS****NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/04	<p>Adhere to the next steps agreed by the Working Group as set out in Section 5 of meeting minutes no. 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If there is no material comments from the Working Group, the proposed model will be issued for the model advisors consideration. On receipt of the amended model the Working Group will request for all DNOs to populate their model with their inputs and check whether the same outputs are calculated.</li> <li>• ElectraLink to draft a consultation to be considered by the Working Group in tandem with the development of the proposed Model. The consultation is to be issued for a period of 2 weeks once the DNOs have confirmed that the proposed model is fit for purpose.</li> </ul>	All	On-going.

**CLOSED ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
02/01	Draft a communication for Reckon on the refinements required to the proposed EDCM models which will be reviewed by the Working Group before it is issued for a period of one week.	Andrew Pace	Completed.